Interview Assignment: Non-Capitalist/Alternative Capitalist Activities

Category	F (Unsatisfactory)	D (Below Average Work)	C (Average Work)	B (Excellent Work)	A (Superior Work)
Quality of Analysis	Lacks meaningful insights; fails to engage with course themes.	Superficial analysis with minimal connections to course themes.	Provides some insights with basic connections to course themes.	In-depth analysis with strong connections to course themes; demonstrates clear understanding.	Exceptional analysis with profound connections to course themes; showcases critical thinking and originality.
Clarity and Structure	Poorly structured; unclear and difficult to follow.	Some structure; lacks clarity in presentation.	Generally clear and structured; flows well.	Well-structured and clear; flows well with coherent arguments.	Exceptionally clear, structured, and engaging; flows seamlessly with outstanding organization.
Introduction	No introduction provided or fails to contextualize the topic.	Minimal introduction; lacks clarity and relevance.	Basic introduction; provides some context to the topic.	Clear and concise introduction that contextualizes the topic well.	Exceptional introduction that thoroughly contextualizes the topic and its relevance.
(Brief) Methodology Overview	No methodology described.	Brief overview provided, lacking clarity.	Clear but basic overview; highlights questions and challenges minimally.	Clear and concise overview of methodology; highlights well-constructed questions.	Extremely clear and insightful overview; showcases well-constructed and relevant questions.
Findings Report	No findings reported; lacks insights from the interview.	Limited findings reported; minimal insights.	Basic summary of findings; lacks depth.	Clear and insightful summary of findings; highlights key points effectively.	Profound and detailed summary of findings; showcases deep understanding and critical insights.
Discussion	No discussion of findings in relation to course themes.	Minimal discussion; lacks connection to course themes.	Basic discussion; connects findings to course themes at a surface level.	Clear and thoughtful discussion that links findings to broader course themes.	Exceptional discussion that deeply explores the connections between findings and course themes, showcasing original thought.
Connection to Course Readings	No references to course readings.	Makes reference to 1 course reading; used somewhat incorrectly.	References 2-3 course readings; used correctly.	References 4-5 course readings; all used correctly and significantly enhance the analysis.	References 6 or more course readings; all used correctly and expertly integrated into the analysis.
Quality of Use of Readings	No evidence of proper use of readings.	Limited use of readings; lacks depth and relevance.	Basic use of readings; somewhat supports the analysis.	Effective use of readings; enhances the analysis significantly.	Exceptional use of readings; deeply integrates them into the analysis, showcasing original thought.
Understanding of Organization/Project/Activity	No understanding or unclear description of the organization/project/activity.	Minimal understanding; vague description provided.	Basic understanding; provides a general description of the organization/project/activity.	Clear understanding; provides detailed information about the organization/project/activity and its relevance to course themes.	Profound understanding; thoroughly describes the organization/project/activity, connecting it deeply to course themes and broader issues.
Motivation for Involvement	Fails to address the interviewee's motivation for involvement.	Limited insight into motivation; lacks depth.	Basic insight into motivation; describes some factors for involvement.	Clear insight into motivation; provides a thoughtful analysis of the interviewee's reasons for involvement.	Exceptional insight; deeply explores the interviewee's motivations and ongoing engagement, linking it to broader social issues.
Lessons from Experience	No lessons or insights shared; lacks relevance.	Limited lessons shared; minimal relevance to challenges faced.	Basic lessons shared; relates some insights on overcoming challenges.	Clear lessons shared; provides meaningful insights on success and overcoming challenges.	Profound lessons shared; offers deep insights and reflections on success and overcoming challenges, linked to broader themes.