

Blog-Essay Evaluation Rubric

| Category | F (Fail) | D (Below Average Work) | C (Average Work) | B (Excellent Work) | A (Superior Work) |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Analysis of Subject Matter | No analysis provided or analysis is irrelevant. | Very limited or superficial analysis; key issues misinterpreted or barely addressed. | Basic analysis that identifies some key issues but lacks depth or completeness. | Strong analysis that engages with key issues and provides thoughtful explanations. | Outstanding analysis with depth, nuance, and originality; fully addresses the topic. |
| Clarity and Structure | Incomplete or missing blog. No clarity, structure, or flow. | Writing is unclear, unfocused, or uninteresting. Lacks introduction/conclusion. Poor flow. | Writing shows some clarity and organization but may be uneven; ideas are partially developed. | Writing is clear, concise, specific, and interesting. Well-structured with logical flow. | Writing is polished, highly engaging, and well-organized. Excellent structure and flow throughout. |
| Grammar and Sentence Structure | Unreadable due to major errors. | Frequent grammar/spelling errors that disrupt readability. | Noticeable errors, but overall readable. | Only minor errors that do not affect readability. | Polished writing with virtually no errors; highly readable and fluid. |
| Relevance of Information | No evidence or information provided, or entirely irrelevant. | Evidence provided is weak, inaccurate, or unrelated to the topic. | Some relevant evidence is provided, but connection to argument is limited. | Relevant evidence is used to support claims; examples are solid. | Evidence is highly relevant, insightful, and used effectively to strengthen arguments. |
| Integration of Course Readings | No course readings referenced. | One course reading referenced, with little to no connection. | Two course readings referenced, with basic or partial connections. | Three course readings referenced, with clear and thoughtful integration. | Four or more course readings referenced, with excellent and insightful integration. |
| Total Sources (Readings + Others) | No sources used. | Fewer than 4 total sources. | 4–5 total sources | 6–7 total sources | 8 or more total sources |
| Quality of Sources | Sources missing or entirely unreliable/inappropriate. | Sources largely unreliable, invalid, or questionable. | Sources somewhat reliable or valid; may include minor weaknesses. | Sources are reliable and valid; appropriately support arguments. | Sources are highly reliable, valid, and appropriate; demonstrate excellent selection. |
| Word Count (600–1000 words) | Fewer than 400 words or more than 1400 words. | 401–499 words or 1399–1200 words. | 500–549 words or 1199–1100 words. | 550–599 words or 1001–1099 words. | 600–1000 words (within assigned range). |